# README Document for
# NASA Ocean Biogeochemical Model

**Last Revised February 2015**

**Prepared By:**

*Cecile S. Rousseaux*                          *Watson W. Gregg*

_____                    _____
Name                                              Name


        February 2015
_____
Date

**Goddard Space Flight Center**

**Greenbelt, Maryland**

# Revision History

| Revision Date | Changes | Author |
|---|---|---|
| 2008 | Original version | Gregg W.W. |
| March 2012 | R2012.3 | Gregg W.W. and Rousseaux C.S. |
| February 2015 | See "1.4. What's New?" | Gregg W.W. and Rousseaux C.S. |

# Table of Contents

# Contents

# 1.0 Introduction

This document provides basic information for using NASA Ocean Biogeochemical Model products.

The NASA Ocean Biogeochemical Model (NOBM) is a comprehensive, interactive ocean biogeochemical model coupled with a circulation and radiative model in the global oceans (Gregg and Casey, 2007). It spans the domain from -84° to 72° latitude in increments of 1.25° longitude by 2/3° latitude, including only open ocean areas where bottom depth >200m. NOBM contains 4 phytoplankton groups, 4 nutrient groups, a single herbivore group, and 3 detrital pools, and the major ocean carbon components, dissolved organic and inorganic carbon (DOC and DIC).

## 1.1 Dataset Description

The NASA Ocean Biogeochemical Model has been extensively validated (Gregg and Casey, 2007; Gregg et al., 2003), involving a comparison of 9 of the 14 model state variables against in situ and/or satellite data sets (only herbivores, the 3 detrital components, and dissolved organic carbon have not been validated). The model contains 4 explicit phytoplankton taxonomic groups: diatoms, cyanobacteria, chlorophytes, and coccolithophores. As with nutrients and total chlorophyll, the phytoplankton groups in the model have been validated against in situ data (Gregg and Casey, 2007, publicly available at http://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/research/oceanbiology/).

In the model, all biological processes are assumed to cease in the presence of sea ice. We provide a variable called 'ice' that represents the distribution of sea ice cover. The variables chlorophytes, diatoms, coccolithophores, cyanobacteria and total chlorophyll have been normalized to the fraction of ice (data=data(100-ice/100)).

The model is constrained by satellite data assimilation of total chlorophyll from SeaWiFS and MODIS-Aqua (Gregg, 2008). The satellite data sets are adjusted for continuity using the Empirical Satellite Radiance-In situ Data (ESRID) methodology (Gregg and Casey, 2010; Gregg et al., 2009).

The model is spun up from prescribed initial conditions (Gregg and Casey, 2007) in free-run mode (not assimilated) for 35 years using climatological forcing from Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA; Rienecker et al., 2011). It is then run with climatological forcing and data assimilation using climatological ESRID-MODIS chlorophyll for an

additional 65 years for a 100-year total simulation. Very minor drift in nutrient concentrations (not chlorophyll) continue to be present, and we find a 15-year segment with the smallest change for all three nutrients (nitrate, silicate, and dissolved iron), which is 0.19% $y^{-1}$ (mean) beginning in simulation year 77. We begin our transient run using these conditions for September 1997, the first year and month of SeaWiFS data collection. We run forward from this time until 2012 using transient forcing from MERRA, switching from ESRID-SeaWiFS to ESRID-MODIS in January 2003.

Phytoplankton relative abundances are not directly affected by the data assimilation, but they can be affected indirectly via changes in concentration gradients, light availability, and nutrient availability that are derived from changes in total chlorophyll.

The NOBM uses a multi-variate assimilation methodology where the imbalances derived from the assimilation of satellite chlorophyll are corrected using a mechanistic approach involving the nutrient-to chlorophyll ratios embedded in the model (Rousseaux and Gregg, 2012). The difference between the chlorophyll assimilation results and the prior chlorophyll produced by the model (the analysis increments) are used to adjust the nutrient concentrations. The multi-variate assimilation is applied to silica and dissolved iron, as well as nitrate.

The multi-variate assimilation of nutrients begins with the assimilation of satellite chlorophyll

$$\Delta C_T = C_T(ana) - C_T(model) \qquad (1)$$

$$C_T(model) = \sum_i C_i \qquad (2)$$

$$\Delta N = b_n \Delta C_T \qquad (3)$$

where $\Delta C_T$ (Eq. 1) is the difference between the analyzed total chlorophyll, $C_T(ana)$, and the model, $C_T(model)$. $C_T(model)$ is the total chlorophyll (sum of all 4 phytoplankton components, Eq. 2), $C_i$ is the $i^{th}$ phytoplankton chlorophyll component, and $f_i$ is the fraction of the $i^{th}$ phytoplankton component of the total chlorophyll. The change in nitrate $\Delta N$ is simply a function of the analysis increment of total chlorophyll $\Delta C_T$ modified by the nitrate-to-chlorophyll ratio $b_n$ (Eq. 3). We only allow the assimilation to change nitrate by a maximum of one-half in each assimilation event to reduce model instability resulting from outliers in the satellite chlorophyll data

$$N(assim) = N(model) + min[\Delta N, 0.5N(model)] \qquad (4)$$

where N(assim) is the new assimilated nitrate.

We extend this multi-variate approach to silica, except that silica is related strictly to the analysis increment of diatoms

$$\Delta Si = b_s f_i \Delta C_T \qquad\qquad (5)$$

$$f_i = C_i(model)/C_T(model) \qquad\qquad (6)$$

where $b_s$ is the silica-to-chlorophyll ratio, and $f_i$ is the fraction of the $i^{th}$ phytoplankton group to the total (in this case it is the diatom component). Like nitrate, Si(assim) is not allowed to change model prior Si by more than half.

$$Si(assim) = Si(model) + min[\Delta Si, 0.5Si(model)] \qquad (7)$$

For dissolved iron, the approach is the same as for to nitrate and silica, as expressed in Eqs. 1-7. However, under conditions of persistent negative $\Delta C_T$ and low atmospheric deposition, which occurs in the South Pacific, the assimilated dissolved iron concentrations can approach zero. To rectify this problem, we apply a special case for iron assimilation that only applies for $\Delta Fe<0$ (and therefore $\Delta C_T<0$):

$$Fe(assim) = Fe(model) + min[w\Delta Fe, 0.5Fe(model)] \quad (8)$$

where Eq. 8 is the same as Eqs. 4 and 7 for nitrate and silica, respectively, except for a weighting factor w. This weighting factor is a function of the prior concentration of Fe.

$$w = 33.3Fe - 5.0 \qquad\qquad (9)$$

The weighting is applied globally and provides a smooth transition of the analysis increment to prevent iron concentrations from becoming so low as to prohibit all phytoplankton growth.

# 1.3 Contact information

Cecile S. Rousseaux          *Cecile.S.Rousseaux@nasa.gov*

Watson W. Gregg             *Watson.W.Gregg@nasa.gov*

# 1.4 What's New?

The R2014 (released in November 2014) contains a new dataset used for the assimilation. In previous versions, SeaWiFS chlorophyll data were directly assimilated in the NOBM. To increase the length of the data while keeping the global coverage of our approach, we developed an approach called ESRID that enables extension using MODIS-Aqua chlorophyll data for assimilation. The Empirical Satellite Radiance-In situ Data (ESRID) approach uses relationships

between satellite water-leaving radiances and in situ data after full processing, i.e., at Level-3, to improve estimates of surface variables while relaxing requirements on post-launch radiometric re-calibration (Gregg et al., 2009).  It improves the quality, reliability, and consistency of ocean color data, while promoting a unified description of ocean biology from satellite and in situ platforms.

ESRID applies the standard processing bio-optical algorithm after processing completion, using satellite water-leaving radiances, and in situ chlorophyll (chl):

$$\log \text{chl} = a_0 + a_1 R + a_2 R^2 + a_3 R^3 + a_4 R^4 \qquad (10)$$

$$R(\lambda) = \rho(\lambda_1)/\rho(\lambda_2) \qquad (11)$$

where R is the reflectance ratio, $\rho$ is the water-leaving reflectance at a specific wavelength $\lambda$, and $a_0$-$a_4$ are empirical coefficients.  The empirical coefficients absorb biases in the radiances, most notably radiometric calibration but many others, including band location, and most out-of-band and electronic crosstalk errors.  This reduces bias in the derived chlorophyll values.

We developed and evaluated ESRID using the latest versions of data produced by NASA and global in situ fluorometric chlorophyll data collected from the National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC; Conkright et al., 2002), NASA in situ (Werdell and Bailey, 2005), and Atlantic Meridional Transect (Aiken et al., 2000) archives, that were quality controlled (Gregg et al., 2009).

Additional details on the methodology can be found in:

- Gregg W.W. and C.S. Rousseaux, 2014. Decadal trends in global pelagic ocean chlorophyll: A new assessment integrating multiple satellites, in situ data, and models. Journal of Geophysical Research, 119, doi: 10.1002/2014JC010158

- Rousseaux C.S. and W.W. Gregg, 2014. Interannual Variation in Phytoplankton Primary Production at A Global Scale. Remote Sensing, 6, 1-19, doi:10.3390/rs6010001.

These publications can be downloaded from http://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/research/oceanbiology/references.php

# 2.0 Data Organization

In the model, all biological processes are assumed to cease in the presence of sea ice. We provide a variable called 'ice' that represents the distribution of sea ice cover. The variables chlorophytes, diatoms, coccolithophores, cyanobacteria and total chlorophyll have been normalized to the fraction of ice (data=data(100-ice/100)).

## 2.1 File Naming Convention

Depending on the data set downloaded the file names will either start by 'mon' for monthly dataset or 'day' for daily data set:

**mon**yyyymm**.R2014.nc4**          **(for monthly data set)**

**day**yyyymmdd**.R2014.nc4**          **(for daily data set)**

where:

- yyyy = 4 digit year number [1998 - 2012 ].

- mm = 2 digit month number [01-12]

- dd = day of month [01-31]

Example of filename for monthly data: mon199802.R2014.nc4

## 2.2 File Format and Structure

NOBM Data set files are in NetCDF-4-Classic. The NOBM spans the domain from -84° to 72° latitude in increments of 1.25° longitude by 2/3° latitude. Each monthly file contains the variables included in Table 2.

# 3.0 Data Contents

## 3.1 Global Attributes

In addition to arrays containing variables and dimension scales, global metadata is also stored in the files. Some metadata are required by standard conventions, some are present to meet data provenance requirements and others as a convenience to users of NOBM products. A summary of global attributes present in all files is shown in Table 1.

| Global Attribute | Description |
|---|---|
| Map_Projection | Equidistant Cylindrical |
| Latitude_Units | degrees North |
| Longitude_Units | degrees East |
| Northernmost_Latitude | 72.0 |
| Southernmost_Latitude | -84.0 |
| Westernmost_Latitude | -180.0 |
| Eaternmost_Latitude | 180.0 |
| Latitude_Step | 0.66666698 |
| Longitude_Step | 1.25 |
| First_Point_Latitude | -84.0 |
| First_Point_Longitude | -180.0 |

Table 1: Global metadata attributes associated with each NetCDF-4 file.
A floating point value of -9999 is used to identify missing data.

## 3.2 Products/Parameters

The following NOBM products are available:

| Variables | Description | Units |
|---|---|---|
| tot | Total chlorophyll $a$ concentration | mg chl $a$/m$^3$ |
| chl | Chlorophyte concentration | mg chl $a$/m$^3$ |
| dia | Diatom concentration | mg chl $a$/m$^3$ |
| coc | Coccolithophores concentration | mg chl $a$/m$^3$ |
| cya | Cyanobacteria concentration | mg chl $a$/m$^3$ |
| irn | Iron concentration | Nano mole/L |
| rno | Nitrate concentration | Micro mole/L |
| h | Mixed layer depth | m |
| ice | Percentage of sea ice cover | % |

Table 2: Name of the variables as used in the netcdf-4 files, their full description and units.

# 4.0 More Information

The NOBM is provided by the Global Modeling and Assimilation Office at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center http://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/research/oceanbiology/

# 6.0 Acknowledgements

# References

Aiken, J., Rees, N., Hooker, S., Holligan, P., Bale, A., Robins, D., Moore, G., Harris, R., Pilgrim, D., 2000. The Atlantic Meridional Transect: overview and synthesis of data. Progress in Oceanography 45, 257-312.

Conkright, M., Antonov, J., Baranova, O., Boyer, T., Garcia, H., Gelfeld, R., Johnson, D., Locarnini, R., Murphy, P., O'Brien, T., 2002. World Ocean Database, 2001. Volume 1, Introduction.

Gregg, W.W., 2008. Assimilation of SeaWiFS ocean chlorophyll data into a three-dimensional global ocean model. Journal of Marine Systems 69, 205-225.

Gregg, W.W., Casey, N.W., 2007. Modeling coccolithophores in the global oceans. Deep-Sea Research Part II 54, 447-477.

Gregg, W.W., Casey, N.W., 2010. Improving the consistency of ocean color data: A step toward climate data records. Geophysical Research Letters 37, L04605.

Gregg, W.W., Casey, N.W., O'Reilly, J.E., Esaias, W.E., 2009. An empirical approach to ocean color data: Reducing bias and the need for post-launch radiometric re-calibration. Remote Sensing of Environment 113, 1598-1612.

Gregg, W.W., Ginoux, P., Schopf, P.S., Casey, N.W., 2003. Phytoplankton and iron: validation of a global three-dimensional ocean biogeochemical model. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 50, 3143-3169.

Rienecker, M.M., Suarez, M.J., Gelaro, R., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Liu, E., Bosilovich, M.G., Schubert, S.D., Takacs, L., Kim, G.-K., 2011. MERRA: NASA''s Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications. Journal of Climate 24.

Rousseaux, C.S., Gregg, W.W., 2012. Climate variability and phytoplankton composition in the Pacific Ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research 117, C10006.

Werdell, P.J., Bailey, S.W., 2005. An improved in-situ bio-optical data set for ocean color algorithm development and satellite data product validation. Remote Sensing of Environment 98, 122-140.